

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/725,632

Applicant(s)

SIGURJONSSON ET AL.

Examiner

Kim M. Lewis

Art Unit

3772

All Participants:(1) Kim M. Lewis.(2) Justin Cassell (attorney).**Status of Application:** Non -Final

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 30 January 2008**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

23

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner telephoned applicant's attorney in order to discuss an election of one of the inventions in the application. Namely, Group I directed to the species having one facing layer and Group II directed to the embodiment having two cross-linked silicone layers. Applicant's attorney elected to prosecute the invention of Group I..